UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,453	10/05/2007	Masami Adachi	Q95904	2989
23373 7590 05/27/2010 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, PHONG H	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/585,453	ADACHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PHONG H. NGUYEN	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 No. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,7 and 8 is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	Irawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 October 2007</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/585,453 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9 and 10 in the reply filed on 03/01/2010 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeshita et al. (4,516,451), hereinafter Takeshita, in view of Kubala (6,230,603).

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Takeshita teaches a cutting device comprising:

a blade 60;

a power supply for heating the blade 60 (Fig. 6); and

a drive part (42, 52, 53) for moving the blade in a thickness direction of a

workpiece.

See Figs. 2 and 6.

Takeshita does not teach running an electric current through the blade to cause the blade to heat.

Art Unit: 3724

Kubala teaches heating a cutting blade 10 by running an electric current through the blade for more efficient and better quality cutting. See Fig. 2.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to run an electric current through the blade in Takeshita for more efficient and better quality cutting.

Regarding claim 5, a cutting condition changing part is best seen in Figs. 2 and 8 in Takeshita.

Regarding claim 6, the blade 60 is longer than the width of the workpiece.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeshita et al. (4,516,451), hereinafter Takeshita, in view of Kubala (6,230,603) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Frenkel et al. (5,429,163), hereinafter Frenkel.

Takeshita teaches the invention substantially as claimed except for a non-contact thermometer and a controller for controlling the electric current passing through the blade on the basis of a temperature detection signal from the non-contact thermometer.

Frenkel teaches a non-contact thermometer (6, 23) and a controller (12, 22A, 22B) for controlling an electric current passing through a blade on the basis of a temperature detection signal from the non-contact thermometer. See Fig. 6 and 7.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a non-contact thermometer and a controller as taught by Frenkel to the cutting device of Takeshita so that one can automatically control the temperature of the cutting blade.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,453 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeshita et al.

(4,516,451), hereinafter Takeshita, in view of Kubala (6,230,603) as applied to claim 1 above,

and further in view of Oldeman (4,922,774).

Takeshita teaches the invention substantially as claimed except for the blade being coated

with a low friction material.

Oldeman teaches coating a cutting blade with a low friction material of making smooth

cuts. See col. 4, 62 - col.5, line 13.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention

was made to coat the cutting blade of Takeshita a low friction material of making smooth cuts as

taught by Oldeman.

6. Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeshita

et al. (4,516,451), hereinafter Takeshita, in view of Kubala (6,230,603) as applied to claim 1

above, and further in view of JP11-179702.

Takeshita teaches the invention substantially as claimed except for the blade being coated

with a low friction material.

JP11-179,703 teaches coating a cutting blade with a low friction material such as

fluororesin for making smooth cuts. See the Abstract.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention

was made to coat the cutting blade of Takeshita a layer of fluororesin for making smooth cuts.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/585,453 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to PHONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-

4510. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Phong H Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 3724

May 22, 2010